UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,442	04/07/2004	Mikko Makela	042933/378783	7157
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 08/20/201 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	TANK, ANDREW L		
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/820,442	MAKELA, MIKKO
	Art Unit
William L. Bashore	2175

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revie	w filed 7 April 2010.
1. ☐ Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revie ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-A ☐ Other:	ew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notic	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer held. The application remains under appeal because ther is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 3 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	re is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ion, or the balance of the two-month time period er is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the clain Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 14-15, 25-41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	n(s) is as follows:
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been he Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rema applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been hel action will be mailed. No further action is required by app	
All participants:	
(1) <u>William L. Bashore</u> .	3) <u>Kieu Vu</u> .
(2) <u>Andew Tank</u> .	4)
/William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175	